

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/736,928	12/15/2003	Michael R. Samuels	AD6819USDIV	5332	
23906	23906 7590 12/30/2004			EXAMINER	
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128 4417 LANCASTER PIKE			LAM, CATHY FONG FONG		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1775		
WILMINGTO	WILMINGTON, DE 19805			DATE MAILED: 12/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$M_{\ell}$		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	10/736,928	SAMUELS ET AL.		
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Cathy Lam	1775		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	opears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 08 December 2004 FAILS TO PI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Ap Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	o avoid abandonment of th r: (1) a timely filed amendn peal (with appeal fee); or (	nis application. A proper reply to a ment which places the application in		
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date	•			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three	er than SIX MONTHS from the mains of the mains of the Month of the mains of the mai	iling date of the final rejection.  HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee anount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in		
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	•	,		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 G				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:			
(a) $\square$ they raise new issues that would require fu	rther consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);		
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	te below);			
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application</li><li>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	on in better form for appea	l by materially reducing or simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without can NOTE:	celing a corresponding nui	mber of finally rejected claims.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	jection(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitte	ed in a separate, timely filed amendment		
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request application in condition for allowance because:	for reconsideration has be See Continuation Sheet.	een considered but does NOT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed \$	SOLELY to issues which were newly		
. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	ws:	•		
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-27</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) a	approved or b)☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stater				
10. Other:				
		Cathy Lam  Cathy Lam  Primary Examiner  Art Unit: 1775		

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims are directed to a process of producing a sheet and that the prior art of record meets the processing steps of the present invention (that is using heat and pressure). The final rejection is sustained.